



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,907	08/04/2003	Peter Szor	SYMC1035	7555
34350	7590	11/17/2005	EXAMINER	
GUNNISON, MCKAY & HODGSON, L.L.P. 1900 GARDEN ROAD, SUITE 220 MONTEREY, CA 93940			ALPHONSE, FRITZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2133	

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/633,907

Applicant(s)

SZOR, PETER

Examiner

Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit

2133

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Khazan (US Pub. No. 20050108562 A1).

As to claim 12, Khazan discloses (fig. 4A; [0040]) shows a malicious code detection device (110) including: an intercept module (114; [0073]); an analyzer module (104-108; [0076]) coupled to the intercept module (114); a request database (see figs. 1, 4A) coupled to the analyzer module (114; [0032]); and a standards list (106) coupled to the analyzer module (108; see [0040][0072; 0078].

As to claims 13-14, Khazan discloses a malicious code detection device comprising an inclusion profile list (112) coupled to the analyzer module (104-108).

As to claims 15-16, Khazan discloses a malicious code detection device, further comprising a memory (data storage system 12) area coupled to the intercept module (114) and the analyzer module (see [0072]); and, wherein the intercept module (114) includes an interception mechanism for intercepting a request ([0073]).

As to claims 1 and 17, Khazan (figs. 1, 4A) discloses a method including stalling a request (fig. 1 shows a data storage system 12 for holding a request; see [0032]); and

Art Unit: 2133

determining whether the request is suspicious, wherein upon a determination that the request is suspicious, determining whether malicious code activity is detected based upon the request ([00120]).

As to claims 3 and 19, the claims differ from claim 1 by the additional limitations “wherein upon a determination that the request is suspicious, adding a request entry representative of the request to a request database, and determining whether malicious code activity is detected on the host computer system based upon the request entry.” However, the limitations are clearly disclosed by Khazan (fig. 11; [0102-0103]).

As to claims 4, 6 and 8, Khazan discloses a method, further releasing the request upon a determination that the request is not suspicious (fig. 8; [0094]).

As to claim 7, method claim 7 corresponds to apparatus claims 12-14; therefore, it is analyzed as previously discussed in claims 12-14 above.

As to claim 9-11, Khazan discloses a method, wherein the request is an HTTP GET request; and, wherein the intercepting a request on a host computer system occurs at the application level ([0036; 0045]).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 5, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khazan in view of Bates (U.S. Pat. No. 6,785,732).

Art Unit: 2133

As to claims 2, 5, 18 and 20, Khazan does not explicitly disclose a method, which generates a notification that malicious code activity is detected; and implements one or more protective actions.

However, in the same field of endeavor, Bates discloses a computer system including a virus checker, which generates a notification that malicious code activity is detected; and implements one or more protective actions (col. 6, lines 21-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Khazan's malicious code detection technique with the virus checker system, as disclosed by Bates. By doing so, senders of viruses are notified when a web server detects a virus, thus helping to inhibit the proliferation of the virus (col. 2, lines 51-56).

### ***Conclusion***

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:** (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).


6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fritz Alphonse, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3813. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-6:00, Alt. Mondays off.

Art Unit: 2133

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3824.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Fritz Alphonse

Art Unit 2133

November 10, 2005

  
**GUY LAMARRE**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**